



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/669,120	09/22/2003	J. Lyell Ginter	P/3474-50 REISSUE	8754
2352	7590	01/21/2010	EXAMINER	
OSTROLENK FABER GERB & SOFFEN 1180 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS NEW YORK, NY 100368403			FREAY, CHARLES GRANT	
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
3746				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
01/21/2010		PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/669,120	GINTER ET AL.	
	Examiner Charles G. Freay	Art Unit 3746	
All Participants:		Status of Application: <i>pending/rejected 8/2009</i>	
(1) <u>Charles G. Freay</u> .		(3) _____.	
(2) <u>David Torrente</u> .		(4) _____.	
Date of Interview: <u>6 January 2010</u>		Time: <u>4:45 pm</u>	
Type of Interview:			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Telephonic <input type="checkbox"/> Video Conference <input type="checkbox"/> Personal (Copy given to: <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant's representative)			
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No			
If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.			
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed:			
<i>Obviousness Type Double Patenting</i>			
Claims discussed:			
Prior art documents discussed:			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:			
<i>See Continuation Sheet</i>			
Part III.			
<input type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.			
<i>/Charles G Freay/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3746</i>			
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)			

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner noted that the Amendment of 11/20/2009 had overcome the prior art rejections. However a supplemental declaration addressing the errors correct by the amendment would be required (note 37 CFR 1.175). Furthermore, the examiner noted that the Terminal Disclaimer of 11/20/09 was not accepted. Mr. torrente stated that he would submit a new Terminal Disclaimer and a Supplemental Declaration as soon as possible. .